arXiv:1208.1173v1 [math.CO] 6 Aug 2012

Functors from Assocation Schemes

Christopher French
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Grinnell College
Grinnell, IA 50112
USA
`frenchc@grinnell.edu`

Abstract

We construct a wide subcategory of the category of finite association schemes with a collection of desirable properties. Our subcategory has a first isomorphism theorem analogous to that of groups. Also, standard constructions taking schemes to groups (thin radicals and thin quotients) or algebras (adjacency algebras) become functorial when restricted to our category. We use our category to give a more conceptual account for a result of Hanaki concerning products of characters of association schemes; i.e. we show that the virtual representations of an association scheme form a module over the representation ring of the thin quotient of the association scheme.

1 Introduction

Association schemes sit at the intersection of a variety of mathematical disciplines, having been studied in statistics, yielding applications in coding theory, bearing relations to graph theory and combinatorics, and, most recently, attracting the interest of group theorists. Zieschang [6] presents association schemes as a natural generalization of groups, explaining how one can define subschemes, quotient schemes, morphisms between association schemes, kernels, and so on on. In fact, by restricting one's attention to a certain class of association schemes, the "thin" schemes, one precisely recovers group theory.

With a definition of morphisms of association schemes, it is natural to investigate their categorical properties; Hanaki [4] made such a study, referring to the category of association schemes by $\mathcal{AS}$. Unfortunately this category is not as well-behaved as one might desire. For example, morphisms in general do not have images; thus there is no complete analogue of the first isomorphism theorem for groups. Moreover, many of the standard operations that one applies to association schemes, such as taking thin radicals or studying adjacency algebras, are not functors from $\mathcal{AS}$ to another category.

In this paper, after giving some background on association schemes in Section 2, we define, in Section 3, a subcategory $\mathcal{S}$ of the category of finite association schemes by adding an extra requirement for morphisms; those morphisms satisfying our requirement will be called admissible morphisms (see Definition 3.1). Our subcategory is "wide" in the sense that it contains all finite association schemes as objects. Moreover, the category $\mathcal{S}$

has many of the desirable features absent from the traditional category. For example, the image of an admissible morphism is a subscheme, and in Section 4, we can then prove a first isomorphism theorem for schemes. We will also consider a quotient $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the category $\mathcal{S}$, in which one identifies morphisms that coincide on scheme elements.

In Section 5, we investigate some constructions relating the category $\mathcal{G}$ of finite groups and our category $\mathcal{S}$ of association schemes. For example, given a finite group G, let $S(G)$ denote the corresponding thin association scheme. It is easy to check that S is a functor from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{S}$; we let S_a denote the corresponding functor from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$. In category theory, whenever one is presented with a functor, it is natural to ask if it has a left or right adjoint. In fact, as we show in Section 5, the functor S_a has both a left and a right adjoint. Given a finite scheme S, we let $\mathrm{Rad}(S)$ denote the group associated to the thin elements of S, and we show that Rad is a functor from $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathcal{G}$, which is right adjoint to S_a. Likewise, given a scheme S, we can take the quotient of S by its thin residue to get a thin scheme, the thin quotient of S; we let $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$ denote the associated group. Then Quo is a functor from $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathcal{G}$, which is left adjoint to S_a. From a categorical point of view, such adjunctions help to explain the central role of the thin radical and thin quotient constructions. Moreover, the unit of the (S_a, Rad)-adjunction and the counit of the (Quo, S_a)-adjunctions are both isomorphisms, while the counit of the (S_a, Rad)-adjunction and the unit of the (Quo, S_a)-adjunctions are isomorphisms precisely when applied to thin schemes. These facts give a categorical account of the group correspondence described by Zieschang in [6, Section 5.5].

In Section 6, we consider the adjacency algebra $\mathcal{A}(S)$ of an association scheme S. We show that the operation taking S to $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is a functor from $\mathcal{S}$ to the category of algebras; again, this is not the case if one works in the traditional category of association schemes. In Section 7, we investigate products of association schemes, and we show that $\mathcal{A}$ takes products of schemes to tensor products of algebras. With this preparation, we show in Section 8 that the adjacency algebra $\mathcal{A}(T)$ of a thin scheme T is a Hopf algebra which is isomorphic to the group algebra of $\mathrm{Quo}(T)$; in general, if S is a scheme, we show that $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is a Hopf comodule over the group algebra of $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$. Using this, we show how one may view the complex representations of the scheme S as a module over the representation ring of the group $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$. This reformulates a result of Hanaki ([3]) in a character-free setting.

2 Background on Association Schemes

We now consider some background about schemes; this material can be found in more detail in [6]. For a set X, let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ denote the power set of X.

Definition 2.1. Given a finite set X, an association scheme, or scheme, on X, is a set S consisting of nonempty subsets $s \in \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ and satisfying the following axioms.

1. S is a partition of $X \times X$;
2. The subset $1_X := \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is an element of S;

3. For each $s \in S$, the subset $s^* := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in s\}$ is in S.
4. For each triple $p, q, r \in S$, there is a nonnegative integer a_{pq}^r such that if $(x, z) \in r$, then

$$\left|\{y \in X : (x, y) \in p \text{ and } (y, z) \in q\}\right| = a_{pq}^r.$$

   We call the integers a_{pq}^r the *structure constants* of the scheme S.

Example 2.2. Suppose G is a finite group. Let $S(G)$ denote the set of subsets of $G \times G$ of the form

$$\tilde{g} := \{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G : g_2 = g_1 g\},$$

for each $g \in G$. Then it is easy to show that $S(G)$ is a scheme. Moreover,

$$a_{\tilde{g}\tilde{h}}^{\tilde{k}} = \begin{cases} 1 & gh = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.3. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X, and $P, Q \subseteq S$. Then the *complex product* of P and Q, denoted PQ is $\{r \in S : a_{pq}^r > 0 \text{ for some } p \in P, q \in Q\}$.

Complex product determines an associative product on $\mathcal{P}(S)$. If $p, q \in S$, we write pq, pQ and Pq for $\{p\}\{q\}$, $\{p\}Q$ and $P\{q\}$ respectively.

Definition 2.4. If S is a scheme on a finite set X, then a nonempty subset $T \subseteq S$ is a *closed subset* of S if $TT = T$.

If $T \subseteq S$ is closed, then the finiteness of X implies that $t^* \in T$ whenever $t \in T$.

Example 2.5. If G is a group, then a subset T of the scheme $S(G)$ on G is closed if and only if there is a subgroup $K \leq G$ such that $T = \{\tilde{k} : k \in K\}$.

Definition 2.6. A morphism from a scheme S on X to a scheme T on Y is a function $\phi : X \cup S \to Y \cup T$ such that $\phi(X) \subseteq Y$, $\phi(S) \subseteq T$, and whenever $s \in S$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in s$, we have $(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)) \in \phi(s)$.

A morphism is an isomorphism if it has an inverse, or, equivalently, if it is a bijection. We will typically denote the restriction of ϕ to X or S by ϕ, rather than $\phi|_X$ or $\phi|_S$. When context permits, we will often just write $\phi : S \to T$, rather than $\phi : X \cup S \to Y \cup T$, to indicate a morphism from a scheme S to a scheme T.

Remark 2.7. The function $\phi : S \to T$ is determined by the function $\phi : X \to Y$. Indeed, given $s \in S$, there is a pair $(x_1, x_2) \in s$, and $\phi(s)$ must be the unique element of T containing $(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2))$.

Definition 2.8. If ϕ is a morphism from a scheme S to a scheme T, the kernel $\ker \phi$ of ϕ is defined to be $\{s \in S : \phi(s) = 1\}$.

By [6, Lemma 5.1.2(ii)], $\ker \phi$ is a closed subset of S.

Definition 2.9. A closed subset T is *normal* if $pT = Tp$ for all $p \in S$, and *strongly normal* if $p^*Tp = T$ for all $p \in S$. We call pT and Tp left and right cosets of T respectively.

Of course, if T is strongly normal, then $Tp \subseteq pp^*Tp = pT$ and $pT \subseteq pTp^*p = Tp$, so T is normal.

Notation 2.10. Given subsets $P, Q \subseteq S$ and $r \in R$, we write a^r_{PQ} for $\sum_{p \in P, q \in Q} a^r_{pq}$. As above, we write a^r_{pQ} for $a^r_{\{p\}Q}$ or a^r_{Pq} for $a^r_{P\{q\}}$.

Definition 2.11. If S is a scheme on a finite set X, $x \in X$, and $s \in S$, we let $xs = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in s\}$. If $T \subseteq S$ and $Y \subseteq X$, we let $YT = \cup_{y \in Y, t \in T} yt$. We write xT for $\{x\}T$ and Yt for $Y\{t\}$. If T is a closed subset of S and $x \in X$, then xT is called the *geometric coset* of T containing x. The set of geometric cosets of T is denoted X/T.

Of course, xT contains x since $1 \in T$ and $x1 = \{x\}$.

Definition 2.12. The *subscheme* of T determined by xT, denoted T_{xT}, is the set of all nonempty subsets of $xT \times xT$ of the form $t_{xT} := t \cap (xT \times xT)$.

Indeed, T_{xT} is a scheme, cf. [6, Theorem 2.1.8 (ii)].

Notation 2.13. Suppose T is a closed subset of S. For each $s \in S$, let

$$s^T = \{(xT, yT) : (x', y') \in s \text{ for some } x' \in xT, y' \in yT\}.$$

For a subset $R \subseteq S$, we let $R//T$ denote the set of all subsets $\{r^T \subseteq X/T \times X/T : r \in R\}$.

Definition 2.14. The quotient scheme of S by T is the set $S//T$.

Indeed, $S//T$ is a scheme on X/T, cf. [6, Theorem 4.1.3].

Remark 2.15. If p and q are in S, then $p^T = q^T$ if and only if $TpT = TqT$. See [6, Lemma 4.1.1].

Definition 2.16. Given a scheme S on a set X, the *valency* of an element $s \in S$, denoted n_s is the number $a^1_{ss^*}$.

Note that for any $x \in X$, n_s counts the number of elements in xs. Thus, for any s, $n_s > 0$ since s is nonempty. Since we are assuming throughout that X is finite, it follows from [6, Lemma 1.1.2(iii)] that $n_s = n_{s^*}$ for any $s \in S$.

Definition 2.17. We say s is *thin* if $ss^* = \{1\}$, and we say S is a *thin scheme* if all of its elements are thin.

By [6, Lemma 1.5.1], s is thin if and only if $n_s = 1$. We let $O_\vartheta(S)$ denote the set of thin elements of S; this is called the thin radical of S. Since we assume X to be finite, $O_\vartheta(S)$ is closed by [6, Lemma 2.5.9], and by [6, Lemma 1.5.2], if p and q are thin elements of a scheme S, then pq is a singleton set, so pq consists of a single thin element. Of course, $s\{1\} = s = \{1\}s$ for any $s \in S$. For each thin element s, s^* is also thin, so $ss^* = \{1\} = s^*s$. Therefore, the complex product induces a group structure on the set of thin elements in a scheme S on a finite set X.

Definition 2.18. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X. Let $\mathrm{Rad}(S)$ denote the group of singleton subsets consisting of a thin element of S, under complex product.

Example 2.19. Suppose S is a thin scheme on a finite set X with more than 2 elements, and let T be the unique scheme on X with two elements $\{1_X, t\}$. Let $\phi : X \cup S \to X \cup T$ be defined by $\phi(x) = x$ for $x \in X$, $\phi(1_X) = 1_X$, and $\phi(s) = t$ for $s \neq 1_X$. Then it is easy to see that ϕ is a morphism of schemes. However, for $\{s\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(S)$, $s \neq 1_X$, we have $\{\phi(s)\} = \{t\}$, and $\{t\}$ is not an element of $\mathrm{Rad}(T)$. Thus, Rad fails to be a functor from schemes to groups.

Remark 2.20. If S is a scheme on a finite set X, it is easy to show that the intersection of a collection of strongly normal closed subsets of S is strongly normal. The intersection of all strongly normal closed subsets of S is called the thin residue of S, denoted $O^{\vartheta}(S)$. By [6, Lemma 4.2.5], a closed subset T is strongly normal if and only if the quotient scheme $S//T$ is thin. In particular, $S//O^{\vartheta}(S)$ is thin.

Definition 2.21. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X. Let $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$ denote the group of singleton subsets of $S//O^{\vartheta}(S)$ under the complex product.

3 Admissible morphisms

In this section, we define our category $\mathcal{S}$ of schemes, as well as a variant $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $\mathcal{S}$. We show that classical isomorphisms of schemes, inclusions of subschemes, and quotients by normal closed subsets are morphisms in $\mathcal{S}$. We show that if ϕ is a morphism in $\mathcal{S}$ from a scheme S to a scheme T, then ϕ takes thin elements in S to thin elements in T, and we show that any morphism from a scheme to a thin scheme is a morphism in $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 3.1. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X and T is a scheme on a finite set Y. A morphism of schemes ϕ from S to T is *admissible* if for any $x \in X, y \in Y, s \in S$ such that $(\phi(x), y) \in \phi(s)$, there exists $x' \in X$ such that $\phi(x') = y$ and $(x, x') \in s$.

Zieschang [6, p. 83] defines a *homomorphism* of schemes to be a morphism $\phi : X \cup S \to Y \cup T$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $s \in S$ such that $(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)) \in \phi(s)$, there exists $x_1', x_2' \in X$ such that $(x_1', x_2') \in s$, $\phi(x_1') = \phi(x_1)$, and $\phi(x_2') = \phi(x_2)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Any admissible morphism of schemes is a homomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose given $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $s \in S$ such that $(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)) \in \phi(s)$. Letting $y = \phi(x_2)$, we get from Definition 3.1 an element $x' \in X$ such that $\phi(x') = \phi(x_2)$ and $(x_1, x') \in s$. Let $x_1' = x_1$ and $x_2' = x'$. □

As Zieschang observes [6, Section 5.2], the composition of two homomorphisms of schemes is not in general a homomorphism. For admissible morphisms, however, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *The composition of any two admissible morphisms is admissible.*

Proof. Suppose that S, T, and U are schemes on X, Y, and Z respectively, and $\phi : S \to T$ and $\psi : T \to U$ are admissible morphisms of schemes. Suppose $x \in X$, $z \in Z$, $s \in S$, and $(\psi\phi(x), z) \in \psi\phi(s)$. Then letting $y = \phi(x)$ and $t = \phi(s)$, we have $(\psi(y), z) \in \psi(t)$, so by admissibility of ψ, there exists $y' \in Y$ such that $\psi(y') = z$ and $(y, y') \in t$. That is, $(\phi(x), y') \in \phi(s)$. By admissibility of s, there exists $x' \in X$ such that $\phi(x') = y'$ and $(x, x') \in s$. Thus, $\psi\phi(x') = \psi(y') = z$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Any isomorphism of schemes is admissible.*

Proof. Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y respectively, and $\phi : S \to T$ is an isomorphism of schemes. Suppose, moreover, that $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $s \in S$, and $(\phi(x), y) \in \phi(s)$. Then $y = \phi(x')$ for a unique $x' \in X$ since ϕ is an isomorphism. Also, since $(\phi(x), \phi(x')) \in \phi(s)$ and ϕ is an isomorphism, we have $(x, x') \in s$. □

For any scheme S on X, the identity morphism is admissible by Lemma 3.4, and the composition of any two admissibile morphisms is admissible by Lemma 3.3. Thus, we have a category of association schemes.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the category whose objects are finite association schemes and whose morphisms are admissible morphisms.

We will also wish to consider a certain variant of the category $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 3.6. Suppose S and T are schemes on sets X and Y. We say two morphisms ϕ and ϕ' in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$ are *algebraically equivalent* if $\phi(s) = \phi'(s)$ for all $s \in S$. We then write $\phi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \phi'$.

Note that if ϕ represents the equivalence class of an admissible morphism φ, then $\phi(s)$ is well-defined for $s \in S$. Obviously, algebraic equivalence is an equivalence relation. It is also easy to see that composition respects algebraic equivalence in the following sense: suppose S, T, and U are schemes on sets X, Y, and Z; suppose $\phi, \phi' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$, while $\psi, \psi' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, U)$, and suppose $\phi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \phi'$ and $\psi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \psi'$. Then $\psi \circ \phi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \psi' \circ \phi'$. Thus, we may compose algebraic equivalence classes of morphisms, and we have the following category:

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ denote the category whose objects are finite association schemes and whose morphisms are algebraic equivalence classes of admissible morphisms. Let $F : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ denote the functor which is the identity on objects and takes a morphism to its equivalence class.

We have seen that isomorphisms are always admissible. We now show that inclusions of subschemes and quotients by normal closed subsets are also admissible.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose T is a closed subset of a scheme S on a finite set X, and $z \in X$. Let ι_{zT} be the function from $zT \cup T_{zT}$ to $X \cup S$ defined by*

$$\iota_{zT}(x) = x \text{ for } x \in zT; \quad \iota_{zT}(t_{zT}) = t \text{ for } t_{zT} \in T_{zT}.$$

Then ι_{zT} is an admissible morphism from T_{zT} to S.

Proof. It is easy to see that ι_{zT} is a morphism of schemes. If $(\iota_{zT}(x), y) \in t$, then $x \in zT$ and $(x, y) \in t$, so $y \in xT = zT$. Thus, $y = \iota_{zT}(y)$ and $(x, y) \in t_{zT}$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X and K is a normal closed subset of S. Then the morphism $\pi_K : S \to S//K$ defined by $\pi_K(x) = xK$ and $\pi_K(s) = s^K$ is an admissible, surjective morphism with kernel K.*

Proof. By [6, Theorem 5.3.1], π_K is a surjective morphism with kernel K. Suppose $(\pi_K(x), yK) \in \pi_K(s)$; that is, $(xK, yK) \in s^K$. Then since K is normal, $(x, x') \in s$ for some $x' \in yK$. Thus, $\pi_K(x') = x'K = yK$. □

Corollary 3.10. *Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X, and J and K are normal closed subsets of S with $J \subseteq K$. Then there is an admissible, surjective morphism of schemes $\pi^J_K : S//J \to S//K$, defined by $\pi^J_K(xJ) = xK$ and $\pi^J_K(s^J) = s^K$. Moreover, $\pi^J_K \circ \pi_J = \pi_K$.*

Proof. Using [6, Theorem 5.3.3], we have an isomorphism $\psi : S//K \cong (S//J)//(K//J)$, and from the proof of that theorem, we see that $\psi(xK) = (xJ)(K//J)$ and $\psi(s^K) = (s^J)^{K//J}$. By [6, Lemma 4.2.4], $K//J$ is normal in $S//J$, so by Lemma 3.9, $\pi_{K//J} : S//J \to (S//J)//(K//J)$ is admissible. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, $\psi^{-1} \circ \pi_{K//J}$ is admissible. But

$$\psi^{-1}(\pi_{K//J}(xJ)) = \psi^{-1}((xJ)(K//J)) = xK \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{-1}(\pi_{K//J}(s^J)) = \psi^{-1}((s^J)^{K//J}) = s^K.$$

Thus, $\pi^J_K = \psi^{-1} \circ \pi_{K//J}$, which is admissible. Since ψ is an isomorphism and $\pi_{K//J}$ is surjective, π^J_K is surjective. Now, it is easy to check that $\psi \circ \pi_K = \pi_{K//J} \circ \pi_J$, so

$$\pi_K = \psi^{-1} \circ \pi_{K//J} \circ \pi_J = \pi^J_K \circ \pi_J.$$

□

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. Then for each $s \in S$, there is a positive integer n^ϕ_s such that if $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$, then there are n^ϕ_s elements $x \in X$ such that $\phi(x) = y$ and $(x_0, x) \in s$. In other words, $|\phi^{-1}(y) \cap x_0 s| = n^\phi_s$.*

Proof. By admissibility of ϕ, there is at least one $x \in \phi^{-1}(y) \cap x_0 s$. Now, if $x' \in \phi^{-1}(y)$, and $(x', x) \in k$, then since $(y, y) = (\phi(x'), \phi(x)) \in \phi(k)$, we have $\phi(k) = 1$, so $k \in \ker\phi$. On the other hand, if $(x', x) \in k$, where $k \in \ker\phi$, then $(\phi(x'), \phi(x)) \in \phi(k) = 1$, so $\phi(x') = \phi(x) = y$. Thus, $\phi^{-1}(y) \cap x_0 s = \cup_{k \in K}(xk^* \cap x_0 s)$, and this union is disjoint. Since $(x_0, x) \in s$, $|xk^* \cap x_0 s| = a^s_{sk}$, so $|\phi^{-1}(y) \cap x_0 s| = \sum_{k \in K} a^s_{sk} = a^s_{sK}$. We let $n^\phi_s = a^s_{sK}$. □

Corollary 3.12. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. Then for each $s \in S$, we have $n^\phi_s = \frac{n_s}{n_{\phi(s)}}$.*

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in X$. Then there are $n_{\phi(s)}$ elements $y \in Y$ such that $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$. By Lemma 3.11, for each such element, there are n^ϕ_s elements $x \in X$ such that $\phi(x) = y$ and $(x_0, x) \in s$. Thus, there are $n^\phi_s \cdot n_{\phi(s)}$ pairs $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $(x_0, x) \in s$, $\phi(x) = y$, and $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$.

On the other hand, there are n_s elements $x \in X$ such that $(x_0, x) \in s$. For each such element, there is exactly one $y \in Y$ such that $\phi(x) = y$. Thus, there are n_s pairs $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $(x_0, x) \in s$ and $\phi(x) = y$. But these two conditions imply $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$. Thus, $n_s = n_{\phi(s)} \cdot n_s^{\phi}$, proving the claim. □

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. If $s \in S$ is thin, $\phi(s) \in T$ is thin.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.12, if $s \in S$ is thin, then $n_{\phi(s)} \cdot n_s^{\phi} = n_s = 1$, so $n_{\phi(s)} = 1$, whence $\phi(s)$ is thin. □

Lemma 3.14. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y, and suppose T is thin. Then any morphism ϕ from S to T is admissible. In this case,* $\ker(\phi)$ *contains $O^{\vartheta}(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose $(\phi(x), y) \in \phi(s)$ for some $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $s \in S$. Choose any $x' \in xs$. Then $(\phi(x), \phi(x')) \in \phi(s)$ since ϕ is a morphism. But $\phi(s)$ is thin, so this implies $y = \phi(x')$, which proves the first claim.

If $s \in S$ and $t \in s^* s$ then $\phi(t) \in \phi(s^*)\phi(s) = \phi(s)^*\phi(s) = 1$ since $\phi(s)$ is thin. Thus, $s^* s \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ for any $s \in S$. But $O^{\vartheta}(S)$ is generated by the union of the sets $s^* s, s \in S$; cf. [6, Theorem 3.2.1.(ii)]. In particular, since $\ker(\phi)$ is closed, $O^{\vartheta}(S) \subseteq \ker(\phi)$.

□

Corollary 3.15. *Given a finite group G, let $S(G)$ denote the thin scheme on the set G defined in Example 2.2. Given a group homomorphism $\phi : G \to H$, let $S(\phi) : G \cup S(G) \to H \cup S(H)$ denote the function taking $g \in G$ to $\phi(g) \in H$ and taking $g^{\sim}$ to $\phi(g)^{\sim}$. Then $S(\phi)$ is an admissible morphism from the scheme $S(G)$ to the scheme $S(H)$. Moreover, S is a functor from the category of finite groups to $\mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. If $(g_1, g_2) \in g^{\sim}$, then $g_2 = g_1 g$, so $\phi(g_2) = \phi(g_1)\phi(g)$, whence $(\phi(g_1), \phi(g_2)) \in \phi(g)^{\sim}$. That is, $(S(\phi)(g_1), S(\phi)(g_2)) \in S(\phi)(g^{\sim})$, meaning $S(\phi)$ is a morphism of schemes. Since $S(H)$ is thin, $S(\phi)$ is admissible by Lemma 3.14. Now, it is immediate from the definitions that for a finite group G, $S(\mathrm{id}_G) = \mathrm{id}_{S(G)}$ and if $\phi : G \to H$ and $\psi : H \to K$ are group homomorphisms, then $S(\psi \circ \phi) = S(\psi) \circ S(\phi)$. □

Definition 3.16. Let S_a denote the functor $F \circ S$ from the category of groups to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$. (See Definition 3.7).

4 First isomorphism theorem

In this section, we prove that an analogue of the first isomorphism theorem for groups holds in $\mathcal{S}$; see Theorem 4.5. We recall that Zieschang proved ([6, Lemma 5.1.5]) that if ϕ is a morphism from a scheme S on X, with kernel K, then ϕ factors through a morphism from $S//K$ on X/K. He also proved ([6, Theorem 5.3.2]) that if ϕ is a homomorphism from a scheme S on X, with kernel K, then ϕ factors through an injective homomorphism from the scheme $S//K$ on X/K. Here, we show that an admissible morphism ϕ from S to T, with kernel K, factors through an isomorphism from the scheme $S//K$ to a subscheme of T, the image of ϕ.

First, we need to define the image of an admissible morphism. Indeed, if ϕ is just a morphism from a scheme S on X to a scheme T on Y, then $\phi(X)$ is not in general a coset of a closed subset of T, so the image of a morphism is not itself a scheme. However, we show in Lemma 4.1 that if ϕ is an admissible morphism, then $\phi(X)$ is a coset of $\phi(S)$. We then show in Lemma 4.3 that the kernel of an admissible morphism from S to T is a normal closed subset of S, just as the kernel of a homomorphism of groups is a normal subgroup. Finally, we show that an admissible morphism ϕ from S to T induces an isomorphism $\bar{\phi} : S//K \to \operatorname{im}\phi$ such that $\phi = \iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K$; that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\pi_K"'] & T \\
S//K \arrow[r, "\bar{\phi}"] & \operatorname{im}\phi \arrow[u, "\iota_{\phi(X)}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

If S and T are schemes on X and Y, and $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$, then for $R \subseteq S$, we let $\phi(R) = \{\phi(r) : r \in R\}$, and for $W \subseteq X$, we let $\phi(W) = \{\phi(w) : w \in W\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y respectively, and $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$. If $R \subseteq S$ is a closed subset, then $\phi(R)$ is a closed subset of T, and for any geometric coset W of R, $\phi(W)$ is a geometric coset of $\phi(R)$. In particular, $\phi(S)$ is a closed subset in T, and $\phi(X)$ is a geometric coset of $\phi(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose R is closed in S, and suppose $t, u \in \phi(R)$, so $t = \phi(p)$ and $u = \phi(q)$ for some $p, q \in R$. Choose some $x \in X$, and let $y = \phi(x)$. Suppose $v \in tu$. Then for some $y', y'' \in Y$, we have $(y, y') \in t$, $(y', y'') \in u$, and $(y, y'') \in v$. Since $(\phi(x), y') \in \phi(p)$, admissibility of ϕ implies that there is an $x' \in X$ such that $\phi(x') = y'$ and $(x, x') \in p$. Similarly, since $(\phi(x'), y'') \in \phi(q)$, there is an $x'' \in X$ such that $\phi(x'') = y''$ and $(x', x'') \in q$. Now, $(x, x'') \in r$ for some $r \in pq$, and since R is closed, $r \in R$. Since ϕ is a morphism, $(y, y'') = (\phi(x), \phi(x'')) \in \phi(r)$, so $\phi(r) = v$. Thus, $v \in \phi(R)$. Since v was an arbitrary element in tu, we have $tu \subseteq \phi(R)$. Since t and u were arbitrary elements in $\phi(R)$, $\phi(R)$ is closed.

Now suppose $x \in W$, and again let $y = \phi(x)$. We claim that $\phi(W) = y(\phi(R))$. Given $y' \in \phi(W)$, we have $y' = \phi(x')$ for some $x' \in W$. Since $x, x' \in W$ and W is a geometric coset of R, we have $(x, x') \in r$ for some $r \in R$, so $(y, y') = (\phi(x), \phi(x')) \in \phi(r)$. That is, $y' \in y(\phi(R))$, so $\phi(W) \subseteq y(\phi(R))$. Given $y' \in y(\phi(R))$, we have $(\phi(x), y') = (y, y') \in \phi(r)$ for some $r \in R$. By admissibility of ϕ, there is an $x' \in X$ such that $\phi(x') = y'$ and $(x, x') \in r$. Since $x' \in xr$, $x \in W$, and W is a coset of R, we have $x' \in W$, so $y' \in \phi(W)$. Thus, $y(\phi(R)) \subseteq \phi(W)$. $\square$

Notation 4.2. Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y respectively, and $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$. Let $U = \phi(S)$ and $Z = \phi(X)$. By Lemma 4.1, U is closed in T, and Z is a coset of U, so for $x \in X$, U_Z is a scheme on Z. We will denote this scheme $\operatorname{im}\phi$. Thus, as a set, $\operatorname{im}\phi = \{\phi(s)_Z : s \in S\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y respectively, and $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$. Then* $\ker\phi$ *is a normal closed subset of S.*

Proof. Let $K = \ker\phi$. By [6, Lemma 5.1.2(ii)], K is closed. Now, suppose $s \in S$, and suppose $p \in sK$. Then $p \in sk$ for some $k \in K$, and we can find x_1, x_2, x_3 such that $(x_1, x_3) \in p$, $(x_1, x_2) \in s$ and $(x_2, x_3) \in k$. Let $y_i = \phi(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $(y_2, y_3) \in \phi(k) = 1$, so $y_2 = y_3$, and $(y_1, y_2) \in \phi(s)$, so $(y_1, y_3) \in \phi(s)$. This implies $(\phi(x_3), y_1) \in \phi(s)^* = \phi(s^*)$. Then since ϕ is admissible, there is an $x_4 \in X$ so that $(x_3, x_4) \in s^*$ and $\phi(x_4) = y_1 = \phi(x_1)$. Then $(x_4, x_3) \in s$ and $(x_1, x_4) \in k'$ for some $k' \in \ker\phi$. Therefore, since $(x_1, x_3) \in p$, we have $p \in k's \subseteq Ks$. Thus, $sK \subseteq Ks$ for any $s \in S$. By a similar argument, $Ks \subseteq sK$, so K is normal. □

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y respectively, $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$, and $K = \ker(\phi)$. Define $\bar{\phi} : S//K \to im\,\phi$ by $\bar{\phi}(xK) = \phi(x)$ and $\bar{\phi}(s^K) = \phi(s)_{\phi(X)}$. Then $\bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism of schemes.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, ϕ is a homomorphism, so by [6, Theorem 5.3.2], the function taking xK to $\phi(x) \in Y$ and s^K to $\phi(s) \in T$ is an injective homomorphism of schemes. Thus, $\bar{\phi}$ is an injective morphism. Since $\bar{\phi}$ is clearly surjective, $\bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism. □

Note that for $x \in X$,

$$(\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K)(x) = (\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi})(xK) = \iota_{\phi(X)}(\phi(x)) = \phi(x),$$

$$(\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K)(s) = (\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi})(s^K) = \iota_{\phi(X)}\left(\phi(s)_{\phi(X)}\right) = \phi(s).$$

Thus, $\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K = \phi$.

We summarize Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, and 4.4 in the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *(First Isomorphism Theorem for schemes). Suppose S and T are schemes on finite sets X and Y respectively, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. Let $K = \ker\phi$. Then*

1. *The kernel K is a normal closed subset of S.*
2. *The image $im\,\phi$ is a subscheme of T.*
3. *The admissible morphism ϕ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\phi} : S//K \to im\,\phi$ such that $\phi = \iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K$. We have $\bar{\phi}(xK) = \phi(x)$ and $\bar{\phi}(s^K) = \phi(s)_{\phi(X)}$.*

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y, and S' and T' are normal closed subsets of S and T respectively. Now, suppose ϕ is an admissible morphism from S to T, and $\phi(S') \subseteq T'$. Then there is a unique morphism $\bar{\phi} : S//S' \to T//T'$ such that $\bar{\phi} \circ \pi_{S'} = \pi_{T'} \circ \phi$, and $\bar{\phi}$ is admissible.*

Proof. First, $\pi_{T'} \circ \phi$ is admissible by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.9. Let $K = \ker(\pi_{T'} \circ \phi)$. By Theorem 4.5, together with Lemmas 3.3 and 3.8, we have an admissible morphism $\psi : S//K \to T//T'$ such that $\psi \circ \pi_K = \pi_{T'} \circ \phi$, defined by

$$\psi(xK) = \pi_{T'}(\phi(x)) = \phi(x)T' \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(s^K) = (\pi_{T'}(\phi(s))) = \phi(s)^{T'}.$$

Now, if $s \in S'$, then $\phi(s) \in T'$, so $\pi_{T'}(\phi(s)) = 1$; that is, $S' \subseteq K$. By Corollary 3.10, $\pi_K^{S'}$ is admissible and surjective, and $\pi_K = \pi_K^{S'} \circ \pi_{S'}$. Let $\bar{\phi} = \psi \circ \pi_K^{S'}$, which is admissible by Lemma 3.3. Thus, we have

$$\pi_{T'} \circ \phi = \psi \circ \pi_K = \psi \circ \pi_K^{S'} \circ \pi_{S'} = \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_{S'}.$$

Uniqueness of $\bar{\phi}$ follows from surjectivity of $\pi_{S'}$. □

5 Thin radicals and thin quotients

In this section, let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the category of finite groups. Recall from Definitions 2.18 and 2.21 that, to a scheme S, we can associate two groups $\mathrm{Rad}(S)$ and $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$, and recall from Example 2.2 that, to a group G, we can associate a scheme $S(G)$. In Corollary 3.15, we showed that S extends to a functor from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{S}$. In Definition 3.16, we defined $S_a : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ as $F \circ S$. We now show how to extend Rad and Quo to functors from $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathcal{G}$. We will then show that the functor S_a is right adjoint to Quo and left adjoint to Rad.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose S and T are schemes on sets X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$. Define $Rad(\phi) : Rad(S) \to Rad(T)$ by*

$$Rad(\phi)(\{s\}) = \{\phi(s)\}.$$

Then $Rad(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism. If $\psi \sim_{alg} \phi$ then $Rad(\phi) = Rad(\psi)$. With these definitions, Rad is a functor from $\mathcal{S}_a$ to $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.13, if $s \in S$ is thin, then $\phi(s)$ is thin, so if $\{s\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(S)$, then $\{\phi(s)\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(T)$. Now, suppose p and q are thin elements in S, and $r \in pq$. Then r is thin and $\{r\} = \{p\}\{q\}$. Moreover, as above, $\phi(p)$ and $\phi(q)$ are thin, and $\phi(r) \in \phi(p)\phi(q)$, so $\{\phi(r)\} = \{\phi(p)\}\{\phi(q)\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Rad}(\phi)(\{p\}\{q\}) &= \mathrm{Rad}(\phi)(\{r\}) \\
&= \{\phi(r)\} \\
&= \{\phi(p)\}\{\phi(q)\} \\
&= \mathrm{Rad}(\phi)(\{p\})\mathrm{Rad}(\phi)(\{q\}),
\end{aligned}$$

so $\mathrm{Rad}(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism.

It follows immediately from Definition 3.6 that if $\phi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \psi$, then $\mathrm{Rad}(\phi) = \mathrm{Rad}(\psi)$.

If S is a scheme on a finite set X, then for $\{s\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(S)$, we clearly have

$$\mathrm{Rad}(\mathrm{id}_S)(\{s\}) = \{\mathrm{id}_S(s)\} = \{s\}.$$

Likewise, if S, T, and U are schemes on X, Y, and Z, and if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T,U)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Rad}(\psi \circ \phi)(\{s\}) &= \{\psi(\phi(s))\} \\
&= \mathrm{Rad}(\psi)(\{\phi(s)\}) \\
&= \mathrm{Rad}(\psi)(\mathrm{Rad}(\phi)(\{s\}) \\
&= (\mathrm{Rad}(\psi) \circ \mathrm{Rad}(\phi))(\{s\}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose S and T are schemes on sets X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T)$. Let S' denote $O^{\vartheta}(S)$ and let T' denote $O^{\vartheta}(T)$. Define $Quo(\phi) : Quo(S) \to Quo(T)$ by*

$$Quo(\phi)(\{s^{S'}\}) = \{\phi(s)^{T'}\}.$$

Then $Quo(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism. If $\psi \sim_{alg} \phi$ then $Quo(\phi) = Quo(\psi)$. With these definitions, Quo is a functor from $\mathcal{S}_a$ to $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. We first need to prove that $\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)$ is well-defined. Since strongly normal subsets are normal, T' is normal in T, so by Lemma 3.9, the quotient morphism $\pi_{T'} : T \to T//T'$ is admissible. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a category, the composition $\pi_{T'} \circ \phi$ is admissible. Since $T//T'$ is thin by Remark 2.20, it follows from Lemma 3.14 that $\ker(\pi_{T'} \circ \phi)$ contains S'. Now, suppose $p, q \in S$, and $p^{S'} = q^{S'}$; we sill show that $\phi(p)^{T'} = \phi(q)^{T'}$. Since S' is normal, we have $p \in qs'$ for some $s' \in S'$; cf. remark 2.15. Since $s' \in \ker(\pi_{T'} \circ \phi)$, $\phi(s') \in \ker(\pi_{T'}) = T'$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $\phi(p) \in \phi(q)\phi(s') \subseteq \phi(q)T'$, whence $\phi(p)T' \subseteq \phi(q)T'$. By a symmetric argument, $\phi(q)T' \subseteq \phi(p)T'$, so $\phi(p)^{T'} = \phi(q)^{T'}$ by Remark 2.15. Thus, $\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)$ is well-defined.

Now, suppose $p, q \in S$, and choose $r \in pq$. Then $r^{S'} \in p^{S'}q^{S'}$, so $\{r^{S'}\} = \{p^{S'}\}\{q^{S'}\}$. Also, $\phi(r) \in \phi(p)\phi(q)$, so $\phi(r)^{T'} \in \phi(p)^{T'}\phi(q)^{T'}$, so $\{\phi(r)^{T'}\} = \{\phi(p)^{T'}\}\{\phi(q)^{T'}\}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)(\{p^{S'}\}\{q^{S'}\}) &= \mathrm{Quo}(\phi)(\{r^{S'}\}) \\
&= \{\phi(r)^{T'}\} \\
&= \{\phi(p)^{T'}\}\{\phi(q)^{T'}\} \\
&= \mathrm{Quo}(\phi)(\{p^{S'}\})\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)(\{q^{S'}\}).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism.

It follows immediately from Definition 3.6 that if $\phi \sim_{\mathrm{alg}} \psi$, then $\mathrm{Quo}(\phi) = \mathrm{Quo}(\psi)$.

Now, for $\{s^{S'}\} \in \mathrm{Quo}(S)$, we clearly have

$$\mathrm{Quo}(\mathrm{id}_S)(\{s^{S'}\}) = \{\mathrm{id}_S(s)^{S'}\} = \{s^{S'}\}.$$

Likewise, if S, T, and U are schemes on finite sets X, Y, and Z, and if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, U)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Quo}(\psi \circ \phi)(\{s^{S'}\}) &= \psi(\phi(s))^{U'} \\
&= \mathrm{Quo}(\psi)(\phi(s)^{T'}) \\
&= \mathrm{Quo}(\psi)(\mathrm{Quo}(\phi)(s^{S'})) \\
&= (\mathrm{Quo}(\psi) \circ \mathrm{Quo}(\phi))(s^{S'}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that an adjunction between categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ consists of a pair of functors $L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $R : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$, together with a natural isomorphism of set-valued functors

$$\eta : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L(-), -) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, R(-)).$$

We then say that L is the left adjoint functor and R is the right adjoint functor. We write η_C^D for the function

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(LC, D) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, RD).$$

If C is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, then $\eta_C^{LC}(\mathrm{id}_{LC})$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ from C to RLC, called the unit of the (L, R)-adjunction. Similarly, if D is an object of $\mathcal{D}$, then $(\eta_{RD}^D)^{-1}(\mathrm{id}_{RD})$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{D}$ from LRD to D, called the counit of the (L, R)-adjunction. See MacLane [5] for more details on adjunctions. In the next two Propositions, we will define two adjunctions between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

Proposition 5.3. *There is an adjunction between the categories $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{S}_a$ for which the functor $S_a : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{S}_a$ is the left adjoint functor and the functor Rad : $\mathcal{S}_a \to \mathcal{G}$ is the right adjoint functor.*

Proof. We will first define a function

$$\eta_T^G : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(S_a(G), T) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T))$$

for each finite group G and finite scheme T. Then, we will show that these functions define a natural transformation η. Then, we will define functions

$$\varepsilon_T^G : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(S_a(G), T),$$

and finally we will show that ε_T^G and η_T^G are inverses, implying that η_T^G is a bijection for each G and T, so that η is a natural isomorphism.

Given $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(S_a(G), T)$, Definition 3.6 implies that $\phi(g^{\sim})$ is well-defined for $g \in G$, and Corollary 3.13 implies that $\phi(g^{\sim})$ is thin. Let $\eta_T^G(\phi)(g) = \{\phi(g^{\sim})\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(T)$. From Equation (1) in Section 2, we have $\{(g_1g_2)^{\sim}\} = g_1{}^{\sim}g_2{}^{\sim}$ for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$, so

$$\{\phi((g_1g_2)^{\sim}\} = \phi(g_1{}^{\sim}g_2{}^{\sim}) \subseteq \phi(g_1{}^{\sim})\phi(g_2{}^{\sim}).$$

Since the product of two thin elements is a singleton set consisting of a thin element, we actually have equality above, whence

$$\eta_T^G(\phi)(g_1g_2) = \{\phi((g_1g_2)^{\sim}\} = \{\phi(g_1{}^{\sim})\}\{\phi(g_2{}^{\sim})\} = \eta_T^G(\phi)(g_1) \cdot \eta_T^G(\phi)(g_2),$$

so $\eta_T^G(\phi) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T))$.

Next, we show that η_T^G is natural. In other words, we need to show that if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(S_a(G_1), T_1)$, $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(T_1, T_2)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G_2, G_1)$, then

$$\mathrm{Rad}(\psi) \circ \eta_{T_1}^{G_1}(\phi) \circ \chi = \eta_{T_2}^{G_2}(\psi \circ \phi \circ S_a(\chi)) : G_2 \to \mathrm{Rad}(T_2).$$

For $g \in G_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{Rad}(\psi) \circ \eta_{T_1}^{G_1}(\phi) \circ \chi)(g) &= \mathrm{Rad}(\psi)\left(\{\phi(\chi(g)^{\sim})\}\right) \\
&= \{(\psi \circ \phi)(\chi(g)^{\sim})\} \\
&= \{(\psi \circ \phi \circ S_a(\chi))(g^{\sim})\} \\
&= \eta_{T_2}^{G_2}(\psi \circ \phi \circ S_a(\chi))(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we will define

$$\varepsilon_T^G : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_a}(S_a(G), T).$$

Given $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T))$, we will define an admissible morphism of schemes $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)$ from $S(G)$ to T, and take $\varepsilon_T^G(\phi)$ to be the algebraic equivalence class of $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)$. (Note the difference between ϵ and ε.) Suppose T is a scheme on Y. Choose a point $y_* \in Y$; then for each $g \in G$, $\phi(g) = \{t\}$ for some thin element $t \in T$, so $y_*\phi(g)$ is a singleton set. For $g \in G$, let $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)(g)$ be the unique element in $y_*\phi(g)$, and for $\tilde{g} \in S_a(G)$, let $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)(\tilde{g})$ be the unique (thin) element in the singleton set $\phi(g)$.

We now show that $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)$ is an admissible morphism of schemes. Suppose $(g_1, g_2) \in \tilde{g}$, and let y_1 and y_2 be the unique elements in $y_*\phi(g_1)$ and $y_*\phi(g_2)$, and let t be the unique element in $\phi(g)$. Then $g_2 = g_1 g$, so $\phi(g_2) = \phi(g_1)\phi(g)$. Thus,

$$\{y_2\} = y_*\phi(g_2) = y_*\phi(g_1)\phi(g) = y_1\phi(g) = y_1 t,$$

so $(y_1, y_2) \in t$. This shows that $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)$ is a morphism of schemes. If $(\epsilon_T^G(\phi)(g_1), y) \in \epsilon_T^G(\phi)(\tilde{g})$, then letting $y_*\phi(g_1) = \{y_1\}$, we have $y \in y_1\phi(g) \subseteq y_*\phi(g_1)\phi(g) = y_*\phi(g_1 g)$. Thus, $\{y\} = y_*\phi(g_1 g) = \epsilon_T^G(\phi)(g_1 g)$, and $(g_1, g_1 g) \in \tilde{g}$. Therefore, $\epsilon_T^G(\phi)$ is admissible.

Now, if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S_a(G), T)$, then $\varepsilon_T^G(\eta_T^G(\phi))(\tilde{g})$ is the unique thin element in the singleton set $\eta_T^G(\phi)(g) = \{\phi(\tilde{g})\}$, so by Definition 3.6 $(\varepsilon_T^G \circ \eta_T^G)(\phi) = \phi$. On the other hand, if $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(T))$, then $\eta_T^G(\varepsilon_T^G(\phi))(g) = \{\varepsilon_T^G(\phi)(\tilde{g})\} = \phi(g)$, so $(\eta_T^G \circ \varepsilon_T^G)(\phi) = \phi$. Thus, η_T^G and ε_T^G are inverse functions, so η_T^G is a bijection for each G, T.

□

Remark 5.4. For a group G, the unit of the (S_a, Rad)-adjunction given in Proposition 5.3 is the morphism $\eta_{S_a(G)}^G(\mathrm{id}_{S_a(G)}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G, \mathrm{Rad}(S_a(G)))$. This morphism takes $g \in G$ to $\{\tilde{g}\}$, and is clearly an isomorphism.

For a scheme T, the counit of the adjunction is a morphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(S_a(\mathrm{Rad}(T)), T)$ satisfying $\eta_T^{\mathrm{Rad}(T)}(\phi) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Rad}(T)}$. Then, for an element $\{t\} \in \mathrm{Rad}(T)$, we have

$$\{t\} = \eta_T^{\mathrm{Rad}(T)}(\phi)(\{t\}) = \{\phi(\widetilde{\{t\}})\},$$

so $\phi(\widetilde{\{t\}}) = t$. Thus, the kernel of ϕ is trivial. We may choose a representative φ of the algebraic equivalence class of ϕ (note the difference between ϕ and φ). Then, the image of φ is a subscheme of T defined by a coset of the thin radical of T; which subscheme one obtains depends on the choice of φ representing ϕ. In particular, if T is thin, then any representative of the counit of the adjunction is an isomorphism of schemes, by Theorem 4.5. Note, however, that there does not exist a canonical admissible morphism from the scheme $S(\mathrm{Rad}(T))$ to T, only an algebraic equivalence class of such morphisms.

Proposition 5.5. *There is an adjunction between the categories $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{S}_a$ for which the functor $Quo : \mathcal{S}_a \to \mathcal{G}$ is the left adjoint functor and the functor $S_a : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{S}_a$ is the right adjoint functor.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we will define a function

$$\eta_G^T : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T), G) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(G))$$

for each finite group G and finite scheme T. Then, we will show that these functions define a natural transformation η. Then, we will define functions

$$\varepsilon_G^T : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(G)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T), G),$$

and finally we will show that ε_G^T and η_G^T are inverses, implying that η_G^T is a bijection for each G and T.

Given a scheme T on a finite set Y, let $T' = O^{\vartheta(T)}$. Given $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T), G)$, we will define $\eta_G^T(\phi)$ by defining an admissible morphism α from T to $S(G)$; we then take $\eta_G^T(\phi)$ to be the algebraic equivalence class of α. To this end, choose $y_* \in Y$, and for each $y \in Y$, let $\tau(y) \in T$ denote the element containing (y_*, y). Now, for $y \in Y$, let $\alpha(y) = \phi\left(\{\tau(y)^{T'}\}\right)$, and for $t \in T$, let $\alpha(t) = (\phi(\{t^{T'}\}))^{\sim}$. We now show that α is a morphism of schemes. Suppose $(y_1, y_2) \in t$; then $\tau(y_2) \in \tau(y_1)t$, so $\{\tau(y_2)^{T'}\} = \{\tau(y_1)^{T'}\}\{t^{T'}\}$. Since ϕ is a group homomorphism, we have

$$\phi(\{\tau(y_2)^{T'}\}) = \phi\left(\{\tau(y_1)^{T'}\}\right)\phi\left(\{t^{T'}\}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\left(\phi\left(\{\tau(y_1)^{T'}\}\right), \phi(\{\tau(y_2)^{T'}\}\right) \in \phi\left(\{t^{T'}\}\right)^{\sim},$$

or $(\alpha(y_1), \alpha(y_2)) \in \alpha(t)$. Thus, α is a morphism of schemes, and since $S_a(G)$ is thin, α is admissible by Lemma 3.14. Now, from our definition of $\alpha(t)$, we see that the algebraic equivalence class of α does not depend on our choice of y_*. Thus, we may let $\eta_G^T(\phi)$ denote the algebraic equivalence class of α.

Now, we show that η_G^T is natural. Assume $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T_1), G_1)$, $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T_2, T_1)$, and $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(G_1, G_2)$. We must show

$$S_a(\chi) \circ \eta_{G_1}^{T_1}(\phi) \circ \psi = \eta_{G_2}^{T_2}(\chi \circ \phi \circ \mathrm{Quo}(\psi)).$$

Since we are working in the category $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$, it suffices to show that both sides of the above equation coincide when applied to some $t \in T_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_a(\chi) \circ \eta_{G_1}^{T_1}(\phi) \circ \psi)(t) &= S_a(\chi)(\eta_{G_1}^{T_1}(\phi)(\psi(t)) \\
&= S_a(\chi)\left(\left(\phi\left(\{\psi(t)^{T_1'}\}\right)\right)^{\sim}\right) \\
&= \left(\chi(\phi(\{\psi(t)^{T_1'}\}))\right)^{\sim} \\
&= \left((\chi \circ \phi)\left(\{\psi(t)^{T_1'}\}\right)\right)^{\sim} \\
&= \left(\left(\chi \circ \phi \circ \mathrm{Quo}(\psi)\right)\left(\{t^{T_2'}\}\right)\right)^{\sim} \\
&= \eta_{G_2}^{T_2}(\chi \circ \phi \circ \mathrm{Quo}(\psi))(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we define

$$\varepsilon_G^T : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(G)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T), G).$$

For this, we adopt the following notation. Given a group G, and given an element $s \in S(G)$, we write $s^{\wedge}$ for the element $g \in G$ such that $g^{\sim} = s$. Suppose given a morphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(G))$, and let φ denote a representative of ϕ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S(G))$. As above, let $T' = O^{\vartheta}(T)$. Note that since $S_a(G)$ is thin, $O^{\vartheta}(S_a(G))$ is trivial, and $T' \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$ by Lemma 3.14.

By Corollary 4.6, there is an admissible morphism

$$\bar{\varphi} : T//T' = T//O^{\vartheta(T)} \to S_a(G)//O^{\vartheta}(S_a(G)) \cong S_a(G)$$

such that $\bar{\varphi} \circ \pi_{T'} = \varphi$. Thus, given $t \in T$, $\varphi(t)$ depends only on $t^{T'}$. Given $\{t^{T'}\} \in \mathrm{Quo}(T)$, let $\epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t^{T'}\}\right) = \varphi(t)^{\wedge} \in G$. Of course, $\varphi(t)$ is independent of the choice of representative φ of ϕ, so $\epsilon_G^T(\phi)$ is a well-defined function from $\mathrm{Quo}(T)$ to G.

We now show that $\epsilon_G^T(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism. Suppose given $\{t_1^{T'}\}, \{t_2^{T'}\} \in \mathrm{Quo}(T)$. Choose $t_3 \in t_1t_2$. Then $\{t_3^{T'}\} = \{t_1^{T'}\}\{t_2^{T'}\}$. Let $g_i = \epsilon_G^T(\phi)(t_i^{T'})$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $g_i^{\sim} = \varphi(t_i)$. Since $t_3 \in t_1t_2$, we have $\varphi(t_3) \in \varphi(t_1)\varphi(t_2)$, so $g_3^{\sim} \in g_1^{\sim}g_2^{\sim}$, or equivalently, $t_3 = g_1g_2$. Thus, we have

$$\epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t_1^{T'}\}\{t_2^{T'}\}\right) = \epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t_3^{T'}\}\right) = g_3 = g_1g_2 = \epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t_1^{T'}\}\right)\epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t_2^{T'}\}\right).$$

Thus, $\epsilon_G^T(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism.

Finally, we must show that $(\eta_G^T \circ \epsilon_G^T)(\phi) = \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(G))$ and $(\epsilon_G^T \circ \eta_G^T)(\psi) = \psi$ for $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(T), G)$. For the first equation, given $t \in T$, we have

$$(\eta_G^T \circ \epsilon_G^T)(\phi)(t) = \eta_G^T(\epsilon_G^T(\phi))(t) = \left(\epsilon_G^T(\phi)\left(\{t^{T'}\}\right)\right)^{\sim} = (\varphi(t)^{\wedge})^{\sim} = \phi(t).$$

Since we are working in the category $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$, this suffices to show $(\eta_G^T \circ \epsilon_G^T)(\phi) = \phi$. For the second equation, suppose given $\{t^{T'}\} \in \mathrm{Quo}(T)$. Let α denote a representative in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S(G))$ of $\eta_G^T(\psi)$. Then, we have

$$((\epsilon_G^T \circ \eta_G^T)(\psi))(\{t^{T'}\})\alpha(t)^{\wedge} = (\psi(\{t^{T'}\}))^{\sim\wedge} = \psi(\{t^{T'}\}).$$

$\square$

Remark 5.6. For a group G, the counit of the adjunction given in Proposition 5.5 is the morphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{Quo}(S_a(G)), G)$ satisfying $\eta_G^{S_a(G)}(\phi) = \mathrm{id}_{S_a(G)}$. That is,

$$g^{\sim} = \eta_G^{S_a(G)}(\phi)(g^{\sim}) = (\phi(\{g^{\sim}\}))^{\sim},$$

so $\phi(\{g^{\sim}\}) = g$. Here, we write $\{g^{\sim}\}$ rather than $\{(g^{\sim})^{O^{\vartheta}(S_a(G))}\}$ for an element in $\mathrm{Quo}(S_a(G))$ since $O^{\vartheta}(S_a(G))$ is trivial. Clearly, ϕ is an isomorphism of groups.

For a scheme T, with $T' = O^{\vartheta}(T)$, the unit of the adjunction is a morphism $\psi := \eta_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)}^T(\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)})$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(\mathrm{Quo}(T)))$, which takes t to $\{t^{T'}\}^{\sim} \in S_a(\mathrm{Quo}(T))$. Thus, the kernel of a the unit of the adjunction is the thin residue of T. Now, ψ can be represented by a surjective morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S(\mathrm{Quo}(T)))$. In particular, if T is thin, then any representative of the unit of the adjunction is an isomorphism of schemes. But again, we caution that there does not exist a canonical admissible morphism from T to $S(\mathrm{Quo}(T))$, only an algebraic equivalence class of such morphisms.

6 Adjacency algebras of association schemes

Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X. Let $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ denote the set of matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$ and with rows and columns indexed by X. Then each $s \in S$ determines a matrix $\sigma_s \in \mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$, called the *adjacency matrix* of s. The (x, y)-entry of σ_s is 1 if $(x, y) \in s$, and

0 otherwise. Since each s is nonempty, σ_s is nonzero. Since S is a partition of $X \times X$, we have $\sum_{t \in T} \sigma_s = J$, where $J \in \mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ consists entirely of 1's. Of course, σ_{1_X} is the identity matrix, and σ_{s^*} is the transpose of σ_s for any $s \in S$. Finally, if $(x, y) \in r$, then for any $p, q \in S$

$$(\sigma_p \circ \sigma_q)(x, y) = \sum_z \sigma_p(x, z) \cdot \sigma_q(z, y) = \left|\{z \in X : (x, z) \in p \text{ and } (z, y) \in q\}\right| = a^r_{pq}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_p \circ \sigma_q = \sum_{r \in S} a^r_{pq} \sigma_r. \tag{2}$$

It follows that the adjacency matrices span a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$. This subalgebra is semisimple since it is closed under transposition.

Definition 6.1. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X. The *adjacency algebra* of S, denoted $\mathcal{A}(S)$, is the span of the adjacency matrices $\sigma_s \in \mathrm{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 6.2. For a finite group G, let $\mathbb{C}G$ denote the group algebra of G. Then the linear transformation $\mathbb{C}G \to \mathcal{A}(S(G))$ which takes $g \in G$ to $\sigma_{\tilde{g}}$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Indeed, bijectivity is obvious, and if $gh = k$ in G, then Equation (1) from Section 2, together with Equation (2) above, implies that $\sigma_{\tilde{g}} \circ \sigma_{\tilde{h}} = \sigma_{\tilde{k}}$.

Now let $\mathcal{A}lg$ denote the category of (unital) algebras over $\mathbb{C}$. We next show how to extend $\mathcal{A}$ to a functor from $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathcal{A}lg$ (see Corollary 6.6 below). Recall from Lemma 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 that if ϕ is an admissible morphism from a scheme S on X to a scheme T on Y, and $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$, then there are $n^\phi_s = \frac{n_s}{n_{\phi(s)}}$ elements $x \in x_0 s$ such that $\phi(x) = y$.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. Then for any $p, q \in S$ and $t \in T$, we have*

$$\sum_{s : \phi(s) = t} a^s_{pq} n^\phi_s = a^t_{\phi(p)\phi(q)} n^\phi_p n^\phi_q.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, $\phi(S)$ is closed. Therefore, if $t \notin \phi(S)$, then the left side of our equation is clearly 0, and since $t \notin \phi(p)\phi(q)$ for any $p, q \in S$, we have $a^t_{\phi(p)\phi(q)} = 0$, so the right side of the equation is 0 as well. Thus, it suffices to show that for any $p, q, r \in S$, we have

$$\sum_{s : \phi(s) = \phi(r)} a^s_{pq} n^\phi_s = a^{\phi(r)}_{\phi(p)\phi(q)} n^\phi_p n^\phi_q.$$

Suppose $(x_1, x_3) \in r$. Let $y_1 = \phi(x_1)$, and let $y_3 = \phi(x_3)$, so $(y_1, y_3) \in \phi(r)$. Let Ω_0 be the set of pairs $(x'_2, x'_3) \in q$ such that $(x_1, x'_2) \in p$ and $x'_3 \in \phi^{-1}(y_3)$. We compute $|\Omega_0|$. If $(x'_2, x'_3) \in \Omega_0$, then $(x_1, x'_3) \in s$ for some s such that $\phi(s) = \phi(r)$, since $(y_1, y_3) \in \phi(r)$. Moreover, for each $s \in S$ such that $\phi(s) = \phi(r)$, we have $(\phi(x_1), y_3) \in \phi(s)$, so there are n^ϕ_s elements $x'_3 \in \phi^{-1}(y_3) \cap x_1 s$. For each of these choices of x'_3, there are a^s_{pq} elements x'_2 such that $(x_1, x'_2) \in p$ and $(x'_2, x'_3) \in q$. Thus, we have

$$|\Omega_0| = \sum_{s \in S : \phi(s) = \phi(r)} a^s_{pq} n^\phi_s. \tag{3}$$

Now let Ω_1 denote the set of elements $y_2 \in Y$ such that $(y_1, y_2) \in \phi(p)$ and $(y_2, y_3) \in \phi(q)$. Thus we have

$$|\Omega_1| = a^{\phi(r)}_{\phi(p)\phi(q)}. \tag{4}$$

For each $(x'_2, x'_3) \in \Omega_0$, we see that $\phi(x'_2) \in \Omega_1$. Thus, we have a function $f : \Omega_0 \to \Omega_1$, where $f(x'_2, x'_3) = \phi(x'_2)$.

We claim that f is surjective, and the preimage of each element in Ω_1 has $n^\phi_p n^\phi_q$ elements, which, together with Equations (3) and (4), implies the result. Given $y_2 \in \Omega_1$, we have $(\phi(x_1), y_2) = (y_1, y_2) \in \phi(p)$, so there are n^ϕ_p elements $x'_2 \in \phi^{-1}(y_2) \cap x_1 p$. For each of these elements, we have $(\phi(x'_2), y_3) = (y_2, y_3) \in \phi(q)$, so there are n^ϕ_q elements $x'_3 \in \phi^{-1}(y_3) \cap x'_2 q$. Thus, for each $y_2 \in \Omega_1$, there are $n^\phi_p n^\phi_q$ pairs $(x'_2, x'_3) \in f^{-1}(y_2)$. □

Corollary 6.4. *Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$. Then the function $\mathcal{A}(\phi) : \mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(T)$ defined on basis elements by*

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p) = n^\phi_p \sigma_{\phi(p)}$$

is an algebra homomorphism. Moreover, if $\phi' \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T)$ and $\phi \sim_{alg} \phi'$, then $\mathcal{A}(\phi) = \mathcal{A}(\phi')$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$ is defined only on basis elements, linearity is immediate, and we only need to check that

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p \cdot \sigma_q) = \mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_q)$$

holds for any $p, q \in S$, and that

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_{1_X}) = \sigma_{1_Y}.$$

Since $\phi(1_X) = 1_Y$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_{1_X}) = n^\phi_{1_X} \sigma_{\phi(1_X)} = \frac{n_{1_X}}{n_{1_Y}} \sigma_{1_Y} = \sigma_{1_Y}.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p \cdot \sigma_q) &= \mathcal{A}(\phi)(\textstyle\sum_{r\in S} a^r_{pq}\sigma_r) && \text{definition of multiplication in } \mathcal{A}(S)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{r\in S} a^r_{pq}\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_r) && \text{linearity of } \mathcal{A}(\phi)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{r\in S} a^r_{pq} n^\phi_r \sigma_{\phi(r)} && \text{definition of } \mathcal{A}(\phi)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{t\in T}\left(\sum_{s:\phi(s)=t} a^s_{pq} n^\phi_s\right)\sigma_t && \text{regrouping terms}\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{t\in T}\left(a^t_{\phi(p)\phi(q)} n^\phi_p n^\phi_q\right)\sigma_t && \text{Lemma 6.3}\\
&= n^\phi_p n^\phi_q \textstyle\sum_{t\in T} a^t_{\phi(p)\phi(q)}\sigma_t && \text{distributivity}\\
&= n^\phi_p \sigma_{\phi(p)} \cdot n^\phi_q \sigma_{\phi(q)} && \text{definition of multiplication in } \mathcal{A}(T)\\
&= \mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_q) && \text{definition of } \mathcal{A}(\phi)
\end{aligned}$$

The last claim is immediate from Definition 3.6 and Corollary 3.12. □

Remark 6.5. Hanaki [2, Theorem 3.4] proved that if K is a normal closed subset of S, then the natural morphism from S to $S//K$ induces an algebra homomorphism of adjacency algebras from $\mathcal{A}(S)$ to $\mathcal{A}(S//K)$ taking $\sigma_s \in \mathcal{A}(S)$ to $\frac{n_s}{n_{s^K}}\sigma_{s^K} \in \mathcal{A}(S//K)$. Thus, one could prove Corollary 6.4 as follows. First, use Theorem 4.5 to factor an admissible morphism $\phi : S \to T$ as a composite $\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K$, where K is the kernel of ϕ. Then it is easy to check that the isomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ induces an algebra isomorphism taking σ_{s^K} to $\sigma_{\bar{\phi}(s^K)}$, and the inclusion $\iota_{\phi(X)}$ induces a homomorphism of algebras taking $\sigma_{\bar{\phi}(s^K)}$ to $\sigma_{\iota_{\phi(X)}(\bar{\phi}(s^K))}$. Thus, using Hanaki's result, and since $\iota_{\phi(X)} \circ \bar{\phi} \circ \pi_K = \phi$, the morphism from $\mathcal{A}(S)$ to $\mathcal{A}(T)$ taking σ_s to $\frac{n_s}{n_{s^K}}\sigma_{\phi(s)}$ is an algebra homomorphism. Now, since $\bar{\phi}$ and $\iota_{\phi(X)}$ both preserve valencies, we have $n_{s^K} = n_{\phi(s)}$, so $\frac{n_s}{n_{s^K}} = n_s^{\phi}$ by Corollary 3.12. Thus, this algebra homomorphism coincides with the one defined in Corollary 6.4 above.

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose S, T, and U are schemes on X, Y, and Z, and $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S,T), \psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(T,U)$. Then, referring to the notation introduced in Corollary 6.4, we have*

$$\mathcal{A}(\psi \circ \phi) = \mathcal{A}(\psi) \circ \mathcal{A}(\phi) : \mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(U).$$

Also, if $id_S \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S,S)$ is the identity, then $\mathcal{A}(id_S) : \mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(S)$ is the identity. Thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is a functor from $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{A}lg$.

Proof. Suppose $s \in S$, $x_0 \in X$, $z \in Z$, and $(\psi(\phi(x_0)), z) \in \psi(\phi(s))$. Then there are $n^{\psi}_{\phi(s)}$ elements $y \in Y$ such that $\psi(y) = z$ and $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$. For each such element y, there are n_s^{ϕ} elements $x \in X$ such that $\phi(x) = y$ and $(x_0, x) \in s$. Thus,

$$|\{(x,y) \in X \times Y : \phi(x) = y, \psi(y) = z, (x_0,x) \in s, (\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)\}| = n_s^{\phi} \cdot n^{\psi}_{\phi(s)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|\{x \in X : \psi\phi(x) = z, (x_0, x) \in s\}| = n_s^{\psi\phi}.$$

The function taking x to $(x, \phi(x))$ induces a bijection between the two sets displayed on the left side of these equations, so $n_s^{\psi\phi} = n_s^{\phi} \cdot n^{\psi}_{\phi(s)}$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\psi\phi)(\sigma_s) &= n_s^{\psi\phi}\sigma_{\psi\phi(s)} \\
&= n_s^{\phi} n^{\psi}_{\phi(s)}\sigma_{\psi\phi(s)} \\
&= n_s^{\phi}\mathcal{A}(\psi)(\sigma_{\phi(s)}) \\
&= \mathcal{A}(\psi)(n_s^{\phi}\sigma_{\phi(s)}) \\
&= \mathcal{A}(\psi)(\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_s))
\end{aligned}$$

The second claim follows since $n_s^{\mathrm{id}} = \frac{n_s}{n_s} = 1$ for any $s \in S$, and the final statement follows from the first two. ☐

Remark 6.7. The functor $\mathcal{A}$ factors through $F : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (see Definition 3.7) by the last claim of Corollary 6.4. We will denote this factorization by $\mathcal{A}_a : \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}} \to \mathcal{A}lg$. That is, $\mathcal{A}_a \circ F = \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\mathbb{C}[-]$ denote the functor from the category of finite groups to the category of algebras over $\mathbb{C}$. For each group G, let $\eta_G : \mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathcal{A}(S(G))$ denote the isomorphism of algebras described in Remark 6.2. Then η is a natural isomorphism.*

Proof. We must show that $\mathcal{A}(S(\phi)) \circ \eta_G = \eta_K \circ \mathbb{C}[\phi]$ when $\phi : G \to K$ is a homomorphism of groups. Since the elements $g \in G$ form a basis for $\mathbb{C}[G]$, it suffices to show the equation holds when both sides are applied to an arbitrary $g \in G$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(S(\phi))(\eta_G(g)) &= \mathcal{A}(S(\phi))(\sigma_{\tilde{g}}) && \text{Remark 6.2} \\
&= n_{\tilde{g}}^{S(\phi)} \sigma_{S(\phi)(\tilde{g})} && \text{Corollary 6.4} \\
&= n_{\tilde{g}}^{S(\phi)} \sigma_{\phi(g)\tilde{}} && \text{Corollary 3.15} \\
&= \frac{n_{\tilde{g}}}{n_{\phi(g)\tilde{}}} \sigma_{\phi(g)\tilde{}} && \text{Corollary 3.12} \\
&= \sigma_{\phi(g)\tilde{}} && \text{since } S(G) \text{ and } S(K) \text{ are thin schemes} \\
&= \eta_K(\phi(g)) && \text{Remark 6.2} \\
&= \eta_K(\mathbb{C}[\phi](g)) && \text{Definition of } \mathbb{C}[\phi].
\end{aligned}$$

□

7 Products of association schemes

If T and U are schemes on Y and Z, define

$$\zeta_{T,U} : T \times U \to \mathcal{P}((Y \times Z)^{\times 2})$$

by

$$\zeta_{T,U}(t, u) = \{((y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2)) \in (Y \times Z)^{\times 2} : (y_1, y_2) \in t \text{ and } (z_1, z_2) \in u\}.$$

If $t \in T$ and $u \in U$, we will write $[t, u]$ for $\zeta_{T,U}(t, u)$. The set of subsets $[t, u]$ with $t \in T, u \in U$ then forms a scheme on $Y \times Z$, cf. [6, Theorem 7.2.3(i)]. Of course $[t, u]^* = [t^*, u^*]$ and $1_{Y \times Z} = [1_Y, 1_Z]$. We denote this scheme $T \boxtimes U$, and call it the direct product of the schemes T and U.

Remark 7.1. Suppose T_1 and T_2 are schemes on Y_1 and Y_2, and suppose $p_1, q_1, r_1 \in T_1$ and $p_2, q_2, r_2 \in T_2$. Then from [6, Theorem 7.2.3(ii)]), we have

$$a^{[r_1,r_2]}_{[p_1,p_2]\ [q_1,q_2]} = a^{r_1}_{p_1 q_1} \cdot a^{r_2}_{p_2 q_2}.$$

It follows that if $t_1 \in T_1$ and $t_2 \in T_2$, then

$$n_{[t_1,t_2]} = a^{[1,1]}_{[t_1,t_2]\ [t_1^*,t_2^*]} = a^1_{t_1 t_1^*} \cdot a^1_{t_2 t_2^*} = n_{t_1} \cdot n_{t_2}.$$

Remark 7.2. If G and H are groups, then the schemes $S(G \times H)$ and $S(G) \boxtimes S(H)$ on $G \times H$ are identical. Indeed, if $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, then

$$(g, h)\tilde{} = \{(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2) \in (G \times H)^{\times 2} : g_2 = g_1 g, h_2 = h_1 h\} = [\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}]$$

so both schemes consist of the same subsets of $(G \times H)^{\times 2}$.

Now suppose S_1 and S_2 are schemes on X_1 and X_2, while T_1 and T_2 are schemes on Y_1 and Y_2. Given morphisms ϕ_1 from S_1 to T_1 and ϕ_2 from S_2 to T_2, we get a product morphism $\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2$ from $S_1 \boxtimes S_2$ to $T_1 \boxtimes T_2$. This is defined as follows:

$$(\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2)(x_1, x_2) = (\phi_1(x_1), \phi_2(x_2)), \text{ for } x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2,$$

$$(\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2)([s_1, s_2]) = [\phi_1(s_1), \phi_2(s_2)], \text{ for } s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2.$$

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose S_1, S_2, T_1 and T_2 are schemes on finite sets X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2. If $\phi_i \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S_i, T_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2$ is an admissible morphism from $S_1 \boxtimes S_2$ to $T_1 \boxtimes T_2$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$((\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2)(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) \in (\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2)([s_1, s_2]).$$

By definition, this means $(\phi_1(x_1), y_1) \in \phi_1(s_1)$ and $(\phi_2(x_2), y_2) \in \phi_2(s_2)$. Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are admissible, we can find $x_1' \in X_1$ and $x_2' \in X_2$ such that $\phi_1(x_1') = y_1$, $\phi_2(x_2') = y_2$, $(x_1, x_1') \in s_1$ and $(x_2, x_2') \in s_2$. But then, we have

$$(\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2)(x_1', x_2') = (y_1, y_2) \text{ and } ((x_1, x_2), (x_1', x_2')) \in [s_1, s_2].$$

□

Remark 7.4. Suppose S_i, T_i, and U_i are schemes on finite sets X_i, Y_i, and Z_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\phi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S_i, T_i)$ and $\psi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T_i, U_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then it is easy to see that

$$(\psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2) \circ (\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2) = (\psi_1 \circ \phi_1) \boxtimes (\psi_2 \circ \phi_2).$$

Also, if id_{S_i} is the identity on S_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then it is easy to see that $\mathrm{id}_{S_1} \boxtimes \mathrm{id}_{S_2} = \mathrm{id}_{S_1 \boxtimes S_2}$. Thus, we have a product functor $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ taking the pair of schemes (S_1, S_2) to $S_1 \boxtimes S_2$ and the pair of morphisms (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) to $\phi_1 \boxtimes \phi_2$.

We do *not* claim that $S_1 \boxtimes S_2$ is a product object in the category $\mathcal{S}$. That is, if T is a scheme on a finite set Y, and we are given morphisms from $\phi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S_i)$, we do not claim that there is a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S_1 \boxtimes S_2)$ satisfying the usual universal property. Indeed, this is generally not the case, as we will see in Lemma 7.6 below.

Definition 7.5. Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X. Let Δ_S be the morphism from S to $S \boxtimes S$ defined as follows:

$$\Delta_S(x) = (x, x) \text{ for } x \in X, \Delta_S(s) = [s, s] \text{ for } s \in S.$$

Indeed, if $(x, x') \in s$, then $((x, x), (x', x')) \in \Delta_S(s)$, so Δ_S is a morphism of schemes.

Lemma 7.6. *Given a scheme S on a finite set X, the morphism Δ_S from S to $S \boxtimes S$ is admissible if and only if S is thin.*

Proof. Suppose given $s \in S$ and $x_0 \in X$, and suppose $x_1, x_2 \in x_0 s$. Then

$$(\Delta_S(x_0), (x_1, x_2)) = ((x_0, x_0), (x_1, x_2)) \in [s, s] = \Delta_S(s).$$

If Δ_S is admissible, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $\Delta_S(x) = (x_1, x_2)$, whence $x_1 = x = x_2$. Thus, if Δ_S is admissible, then $n_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$. Conversely, if $n_s = 1$ for all $s \in S$, then $(\Delta_S(x_0), (x_1, x_2)) \in \Delta_S(s)$ implies $(x_0, x_1) \in s$ and $(x_0, x_2) \in s$, so $x_1 = x_2$. This implies $\Delta_S(x_1) = (x_1, x_2)$ and $(x_0, x_1) \in s$, so Δ_S is admissible. □

Lemma 7.7. *Suppose S is a scheme on a finite set X and T is a thin scheme on a finite set Y, and suppose ϕ is a morphism from S to T. Then we have*

$$(\phi \times id_S) \circ \Delta_S \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, T \times S).$$

Proof. Let $\delta_S^{\phi} = (\phi \times \mathrm{id}_S) \circ \Delta_S$. Thus, $\delta_S^{\phi}(x) = (\phi(x), x)$ and $\delta_S^{\phi}(s) = [\phi(s), s]$. Suppose $(\delta_S^{\phi}(x_0), (y, x)) \in \delta_S^{\phi}(s)$. Then $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$ and $(x_0, x) \in s$. Since ϕ is a morphism of schemes, $(x_0, x) \in s$ implies $(\phi(x_0), \phi(x)) \in \phi(s)$. Thus, since $\phi(s) \in T$ is thin and $(\phi(x_0), y) \in \phi(s)$, we must have $y = \phi(x)$. Therefore, $\delta_S^{\phi}(x) = (\phi(x), x) = (y, x)$ and $(x_0, x) \in s$, so δ_S^{ϕ} is admissible. □

Remark 7.8. If S is thin, then by Lemma 7.7, Δ_S is admissible, since we may choose $\phi = \mathrm{id}_S$. If G is a finite group, then let $\Delta_G : G \to G \times G$ be given by $\Delta_G(g) = (g, g)$. Thus, we have two admissible morphisms $\Delta_{S(G)}$ and $S(\Delta_G)$ from $S(G)$ to $S(G \times G) = S(G) \boxtimes S(G)$ (see Remark 7.2). If $g \in G$, then by Corollary 3.15, $S(\Delta_G)(g) = (g, g)$ and $S(\Delta_G)(g^{\sim}) = (g, g)^{\sim}$. Likewise, by Definition 7.5, $\Delta_{S(G)}(g) = (g, g)$ and $\Delta_{S(G)}(g^{\sim}) = [g^{\sim}, g^{\sim}]$. By Remark 7.2, $[g^{\sim}, g^{\sim}] = (g, g)^{\sim}$, so $S(\Delta_G) = \Delta_{S(G)}$.

We now show that $\mathcal{A}$ takes products of schemes to tensor products of algebras.

Proposition 7.9. *Suppose S and T are schemes on X and Y. Then there is a unique algebra isomorphism $\mu_{S,T} : \mathcal{A}(S) \otimes \mathcal{A}(T) \to \mathcal{A}(S \boxtimes T)$ satisfying*

$$\mu_{S,T}(\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_t) = \sigma_{[s,t]} \tag{5}$$

Moreover, the homomorphisms $\mu_{S,T}$ are natural; more precisely, if $\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(S, S')$ and $\psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{S}}(T, T')$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(\phi \boxtimes \psi) \circ \mu_{S,T} = \mu_{S',T'} \circ (\mathcal{A}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\psi)).$$

Proof. The set $\{\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_t\}_{s \in S, t \in T}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}(S) \otimes \mathcal{A}(T)$ as a complex vector space. Thus, there is a unique linear transformation $\mu_{S,T}$ satisfying equation (5). We are left to show that $\mu_{S,T}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces and a homomorphism of algebras.

To see that $\mu_{S,T}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces, first observe that $\mu_{S,T}$ is surjective, since each scheme element in $S \boxtimes T$ is of the form $[s, t]$ for some $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. Since the dimension of the domain and codomain of $\mu_{S,T}$ are both equal to $|S| \cdot |T|$, $\mu_{S,T}$ is an isomorphism.

To see that $\mu_{S,T}$ is a homomorphism of algebras, first, note that

$$\mu_{S,T}(\sigma_{1_X} \otimes \sigma_{1_Y}) = \sigma_{[1_X, 1_Y]} = \sigma_{1_{X \times Y}},$$

so $\mu_{S,T}$ preserves the multiplicative identity. Now, suppose $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{S,T}\left((\sigma_{s_1} \otimes \sigma_{t_1}) \cdot (\sigma_{s_2} \otimes \sigma_{t_2})\right) &= \mu_{S,T}\left((\sigma_{s_1} \cdot \sigma_{s_2}) \otimes (\sigma_{t_1} \cdot \sigma_{t_2})\right) \\
&= \mu_{S,T}\left(\left(\sum_{s \in S} a^{s}_{s_1 s_2} \sigma_s\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{t \in T} a^{t}_{t_1 t_2} \sigma_t\right)\right) \\
&= \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} a^{s}_{s_1 s_2} a^{t}_{t_1 t_2} \mu_{S,T}(\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_t) \\
&= \sum_{[s,t] \in S \boxtimes T} a^{[s,t]}_{[s_1,t_1]\ [s_2,t_2]} \sigma_{[s,t]} \quad \text{(cf. Remark 7.1)} \\
&= \sigma_{[s_1,t_1]} \cdot \sigma_{[s_2,t_2]} \\
&= \mu_{S,T}(\sigma_{s_1} \otimes \sigma_{t_1}) \cdot \mu_{S,T}(\sigma_{s_2} \otimes \sigma_{t_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we prove naturality. Note that $\phi \boxtimes \psi$ is admissible by Lemma 7.3. Now, if $s \in S$ and $t \in T$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_{S',T'} \circ (\mathcal{A}(\phi) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\psi)))(\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_t) &= \mu_{S',T'}(n^{\phi}_{s} \sigma_{\phi(s)} \otimes n^{\psi}_{t} \sigma_{\psi(t)}) \quad \text{(Corollary 6.4)} \\
&= (n^{\phi}_{s} \cdot n^{\psi}_{t}) \sigma_{[\phi(s),\psi(t)]} \\
&= \frac{n_s \cdot n_t}{n_{\phi(s)} \cdot n_{\psi(t)}} \sigma_{[\phi(s),\psi(t)]} \quad \text{(Corollary 3.12)} \\
&= \frac{n_{[s,t]}}{n_{\phi \boxtimes \psi([s,t])}} \sigma_{[\phi(s),\psi(t)]} \quad \text{(Remark 7.1)} \\
&= n^{\phi \boxtimes \psi}_{[s,t]} \sigma_{(\phi \boxtimes \psi)([s,t])} \quad \text{(Corollary 3.12)} \\
&= \mathcal{A}(\phi \boxtimes \psi)(\sigma_{[s,t]}) \\
&= (\mathcal{A}(\phi \boxtimes \psi) \circ \mu_{S,T})(\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

8 Hopf structures

We recall that a (complex) bialgebra is a unital algebra A over $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with a comultiplication $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ and a counit $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{C}$, both of which are algebra homomorphisms, satisfying coassociativity and counitality conditions. Here, coassociativity means that

$$(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$

and counitality means that $(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \circ \Delta$ takes $a \in A$ to $1 \otimes a \in \mathbb{C} \otimes A$. We say that A is a Hopf algebra if it also comes equipped with a (necessarily unique) antipode map $S : A \to A$ such that

$$\nabla \circ (1 \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \nabla \circ (S \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \epsilon$$

where $\nabla : A \otimes A \to A$ is multiplication and $\eta : \mathbb{C} \to A$ is the unit. We say A is cocommutative if $\tau \circ \Delta = \Delta$, where $\tau : A \otimes A \to A \otimes A$ takes $a \otimes b$ to $b \otimes a$. See [1] for more details on Hopf algebras. The following is Example 2.7 in [1].

Example 8.1. For a finite group G, $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ_G taking g to $g \otimes g$, counit ε_G taking g to 1, and antipode S_G taking g to g^{-1}. The comultiplication is clearly cocommutative.

Proposition 8.2. *If T is a thin scheme, then the algebra $\mathscr{A}(T)$ has the structure of a cocommutative Hopf algebra. The counit ε_T takes σ_t to 1; the antipode S_T takes σ_t to σ_{t^*}; and the comultiplication Δ_T takes σ_t to $\sigma_t \otimes \sigma_t$. This Hopf algebra is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}[Quo(T)]$ of Example 8.1.*

Proof. Let φ be a representative in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(T, S(\mathrm{Quo}(T)))$ of the unit of the (Quo, S_a)-adjunction in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{a}}}(T, S_a(\mathrm{Quo}(T)))$, described in Remark 5.6. Thus, $\varphi(t) = \{t^{T'}\}^{\widetilde{}} = \{t\}^{\widetilde{}}$, since T' is trivial. Then φ is an isomorphism, so we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\Phi : \mathscr{A}(T) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{A}(\varphi)} \mathscr{A}(S(\mathrm{Quo}(T)) \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)}} \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Quo}(T)]$$

where $\eta_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)}$ is the isomorphism defined in Lemma 6.8. For $t \in T$, we have

$$\eta_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)}(\Phi(\sigma_t)) = \mathscr{A}(\varphi)(\sigma_t) = \frac{n_t}{n_{\varphi(t)}}\sigma_{\varphi(t)} = \sigma_{\varphi(t)} = \sigma_{\{t\}^{\widetilde{}}}.$$

Since $\eta_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)}(\{t\}) = \sigma_{\{t\}^{\widetilde{}}}$, $\Phi(\sigma_t)$ is the generator $\{t\} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Quo}(T)]$. From here, we immediately obtain

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)} \circ \Phi = (\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \Delta_T, \quad \varepsilon_T = \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)} \circ \Phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ S_T = S_{\mathrm{Quo}(T)} \circ \Phi.$$

Since Φ is an isomorphism of algebras, it follows that $\mathscr{A}(T)$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra and Φ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. ☐

Remark 8.3. For any algebra A, the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional A-modules forms a semigroup, with sum given by direct sum of modules. If V and W are A-modules, then $V \otimes W$ is an $A \otimes A$-module, so one does not typically get a semiring structure on these isomorphism classes. However, when A is a Hopf algebra (e.g. $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$ for a group G), then one may pull back the action map (of $A \otimes A$ on $V \otimes W$) along the comultiplication map to make $V \otimes W$ into an A-module. Coassociativity of the comultiplication implies that if U, V, and W are A-modules, then $U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ and $(U \otimes V) \otimes W$ are isomorphic A-modules. If A is cocommutative, then the canonical isomorphism $V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$ is an isomorphism of A-modules. Moreover, the counit of A determines a one-dimensional module, and counitality implies that the isomorphism class of this module is a multiplicative identity. Thus, the set of isomorphism classes of modules over a Hopf algebra A forms a commutative, unital semiring. By taking formal differences of elements in this semiring, one obtains a commutative unital ring. For example, for a finite group G, the formal differences of isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules form a commutative unital ring $R(G)$, the representation ring of G. Using Proposition 8.2, we can apply this procedure to a thin scheme T; then, the representation ring $R(T)$ of T is isomorphic to $R(\mathrm{Quo}(T))$.

Now suppose A is a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication map $\Delta_A : A \to A \otimes A$, counit $\varepsilon_A : A \to \mathbb{C}$, multiplication $\nabla_A : A \otimes A \to A$, and unit $\eta_A : \mathbb{C} \to A$. A left A-comodule is a vector space M equipped with a linear coaction map $\rho_M : M \to A \otimes M$ which satisfies coassociativity and counitality. More concretely, we require that

$$(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \rho_M) \circ \rho_M = (\Delta_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) \circ \rho_M, \tag{6}$$

and for any $m \in M$,

$$((\varepsilon_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) \circ \rho_M)(m) = 1 \otimes m \in \mathbb{C} \otimes M. \tag{7}$$

A left A-comodule algebra is an algebra, which is also a left A-comodule, such that the coaction ρ_M is an algebra homomorphism. More concretely, letting ∇_M and η_M denote the multiplication and unit of M, we require that

$$\rho_M \circ \nabla_M = (\nabla_A \otimes \nabla_M) \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \tau_{M,A} \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) \circ (\rho_M \otimes \rho_M), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\rho_M \circ \eta_M = (\eta_A \otimes \eta_M) \circ \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\tau_{M,A} : M \otimes A \to A \otimes M$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are the canonical isomorphisms. See [1, Section 3.2] for an equivalent formulation of this definition.

Now fix a morphism ϕ from a scheme S to a thin scheme T (so ϕ is admissible by Lemma 3.14). Then let $\rho_S : \mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(T) \otimes \mathcal{A}(S)$ and $\varepsilon_S : \mathcal{A}(S) \to \mathcal{A}(T)$ be defined on generators $\sigma_p \in \mathcal{A}(S)$ by

$$\rho_S(\sigma_p) = \sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p, \quad \varepsilon_S(\sigma_p) = n_p \sigma_{\phi(p)}$$

Proposition 8.4. *The function ε_S is an algebra homomorphism, and the function ρ_S endows $\mathcal{A}(S)$ with the structure of a left $\mathcal{A}(T)$-comodule algebra.*

Proof. First, by Corollary 6.4, $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$ is a homomorphism of algebras, and $\mathcal{A}(\phi)(\sigma_p) = n_p^{\phi} \sigma_{\phi(p)}$. By Corollary 3.12, and since $\phi(p)$ is thin, we have $n_p^{\phi} = \frac{n_p}{n_{\phi(p)}} = n_p$. Therefore, $\varepsilon_S = \mathcal{A}(\phi)$, which is an algebra homomorphism.

Now, by Proposition 7.9, we have

$$\mu_{T,S}(\rho_S(\sigma_p)) = \mu_{T,S}(\sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p) = \sigma_{[\phi(p),p]}.$$

On the other hand, the function $\delta_S^{\phi} := (\phi \times \mathrm{id}_S) \circ \Delta_S$ is admissible by Lemma 7.7, so $\mathcal{A}(\delta_S^{\phi})$ is an algebra homomorphism. We have

$$\mathcal{A}(\delta_S^{\phi})(\sigma_p) = n_p^{\delta_S^{\phi}} \sigma_{\delta_S^{\phi}(p)} = \frac{n_p}{n_{[\phi(p),p]}} \sigma_{[\phi(p),p]}.$$

By Remark 7.1, $n_{[\phi(p),p]} = n_{\phi(p)} n_p = n_p$, since $\phi(p)$ is thin. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A}(\delta_S^{\phi})(\sigma_p) = \sigma_{[\phi(p),p]} = \mu_{T,S}(\rho_S(\sigma_p)).$$

Now, $\mu_{T,S}$ is an isomorphism of algebras by Proposition 7.9, so $\mu_{T,S}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{A}(\delta_S^\phi) = \rho_S$ is an algebra homomorphism.

Equations (6) and (7) are easy computations. For $\sigma_p \in \mathscr{A}(S)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(T)} \otimes \rho_S) \circ \rho_S)(\sigma_p) &= (\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(T)} \otimes \rho_S)(\sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p) \\
&= \sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p \\
&= (\Delta_T \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(S)})(\sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p) \\
&= (\Delta_T \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(S)})(\rho_S(\sigma_p)).
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$((\varepsilon_T \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(S)}) \circ \rho_S)(\sigma_p) = (\varepsilon_T \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{A}(S)})(\sigma_{\phi(p)} \otimes \sigma_p) = 1 \otimes \sigma_p.$$

□

Remark 8.5. If A is a (complex) cocommutative Hopf algebra and M is a left A-comodule algebra, then the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional M-modules forms a semigroup as in Remark 8.3. Now, if V is an A-module and W is an M-module, then $V \otimes W$ is an $A \otimes M$-module; pulling back along the coaction $\rho_M : M \to A \otimes M$ makes $V \otimes W$ into an M module. Thus, the semigroup of finite dimensional M-modules is a semimodule over the semiring of finite dimensional A-modules; taking formal differences as before, we obtain a module over the commutative ring described in Remark 8.3. Thus, if ϕ is a morphism from a scheme S to a thin scheme T, then by Proposition 8.4, the formal differences of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional $\mathscr{A}(S)$-modules, which we denote $R(S)$, form a module over the representation ring $R(T)$ of T. In particular, we may take T to be $S//O^\vartheta(S)$, and take ϕ to be the natural quotient morphism. Since $O^\vartheta(T)$ is trivial, $T//O^\vartheta(T)$ is canonically isomorphic to T, so, by Definition 2.21, $\mathrm{Quo}(T)$ and $\mathrm{Quo}(S)$ are canonically isomorphic. Thus, we have defined an $R(\mathrm{Quo}(S))$-module structure on $R(S)$.

Hanaki [3] proved that the product of characters of two representations of a finite scheme S is itself a character if one of the two characters contains the thin residue $O^\vartheta(S)$ in its kernel, which is equivalent to the above remark. As above, the key step is to prove that the map ρ_S is a morphism of algebras, which Hanaki does by direct computation (in [3, Proposition 3.1]), in the primary case when ϕ is the quotient map from S to $S//O^\vartheta(S)$. Our proof shows the central role of admissible morphisms in this result. That is, the essential reason that ρ_S is an algebra morphism is that the map $(\phi \times \mathrm{id}_S) \circ \Delta_S$ is an admissible morphism of schemes. Likewise, the failure of the morphism $\mathscr{A}(S) \to \mathscr{A}(S) \otimes \mathscr{A}(S)$ taking σ_s to $\sigma_s \otimes \sigma_s$ to be an algebra homomorphism can be attributed to the lack of admissibility of the morphism Δ_S.

References

[1] Eiichi Abe. *Hopf algebras*, volume 74 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980. Translated from the Japanese by Hisae Kinoshita and Hiroko Tanaka.

[2] Akihide Hanaki. Representations of association schemes and their factor schemes. *Graphs Combin.*, 19(2):195–201, 2003.

[3] Akihide Hanaki. Character products of association schemes. *J. Algebra*, 283(2):596–603, 2005.

[4] Akihide Hanaki. A category of association schemes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 117(8):1207–1217, 2010.

[5] Saunders MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.

[6] Paul-Hermann Zieschang. *Theory of association schemes*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.